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Executive Summary

Hydrogen has been identified as a fuel that can enable commercial aircraft to operate with zero carbon dioxide emissions. However, the roadmap to the widespread use of zero emission aircraft is more than a decade away and will require airports to make significant capital investment in hydrogen storage and refuelling infrastructure.

In the meantime, Jacobs has identified the following recommendations for airport owners and operators that build on the Aeronautical Technology Institute FlyZero report “Airports, Airlines, Airspace - Operations and Hydrogen Infrastructure”:

- Airport owners and operators should decarbonise their Scope 1 emissions in the short-term by using hydrogen in on-ground applications;
- Investing in hydrogen delivery, storage and refuelling infrastructure for these applications, will enable airports to become comfortable with using hydrogen, particularly in relation to managing operations;
- Undertaking early adoption of hydrogen will create momentum to implement the significant infrastructure that will be needed to support the operation of hydrogen fuelled aircraft;
- Ensuring this early adoption of hydrogen involves the creation of an integrated hydrogen ecosystem around an airport that uses hydrogen to decarbonise public transport, logistics and heating;
- An airport can become the catalyst for decarbonisation in its surrounding region by forming partnerships across various regional actors: government, airlines, hydrogen companies, local and national transport providers, and local businesses;
- When the level of demand for hydrogen that this approach can generate in the short-term is added to the forecast for significant demand in the longer-term, the private sector will be encouraged to invest in developing the significant infrastructure required knowing that there will be a positive return on investment.

Introduction

The aviation sector is a major contributor to global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. If the current rate of growth continues unchecked, the International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) predictions show a tripling in CO₂ emissions by 2050¹ and figures for the UK show a rise from 7% to 25% by 2050². While other sectors are investing heavily in reducing their emissions, aviation seems to be falling behind, meaning that it risks being left behind in the race to net zero.

---

¹ Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Organisation (CISRO) – Opportunities for hydrogen in commercial aviation
² Birmingham Airport Sustainability Strategy 2020 – 2025, Page 10
Emission Scopes

Airports, as the origin and destination point of aircraft, are a key focus when considering how the aviation sector can decarbonise. GHG emissions, including those associated with airports, can be categorised into three scopes:

- **Scope 1 emissions** are generated from a source owned and controlled by the airport, e.g., the consumption of gas, diesel (through owned or leased vehicle fleets) and refrigerants. It is estimated that an airport’s scope 1 emissions account for 5% of their total emissions.  

- **Scope 2 emissions** relate to the emissions generated in the production of purchased or acquired electricity, steam, heat, and cooling.

- **Scope 3 emissions** relate to the emissions generated from use of the airport’s facilities by other parties, particularly the emissions from the aircraft that depart from or arrive at the airport and the emissions generated by ground travel into and out of the airport.

In the context of airports their scope 3 emissions are the most significant, with, for example, around 80% of global aviation sector emissions coming from flights longer than 1,500km.

---

3 European environmental agency (greenhouse gas inventory 2018)
4 Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope Guidance
5 Air Transport Action Ground, Facts and Figures
Airport Climate Action Plans

The bias of emission generation towards scope 3 highlights the interconnectivity of airports within a system and shows that they cannot achieve the aims of net zero alone. Climate Action Plans published by airports including Heathrow, San Francisco, Munich, Budapest, Vancouver and Brisbane identify the need to integrate scope 3 generators into the airport’s carbon solutions. The trend seen by Jacobs across the various published documents shows recognition that multiple entities have influence over the environmental impact of airports with key actions for reducing emissions both “in the air” and “on the ground”.

The journey towards zero-carbon flight is being approached in three stages; 1. the optimisation of current technology (e.g., new conventional aircraft); 2. the adoption of new, but available, technologies, for example SAF (e.g., change the fuel) and 3. the long-term development of innovative technologies (e.g., changing the plane).

With the long term-goal of aviation being the implementation of innovative technologies as a means of overcoming current emission levels, research into these innovations has identified hydrogen as a fuel for the future. When used in a fuel cell or a jet engine, it creates zero carbon dioxide emissions and if produced using renewable energy through the process of electrolysis (what is generally called “green hydrogen”), then the end-to-end system (well to wheel) is zero emissions.

The move towards zero emission flight is a long-term goal with the development of technology and concept aircraft already taking place. Manufacturer Airbus is expecting to “achieve a mature technology readiness level for a hydrogen-combustion propulsion system by 2025” and has identified this fuel as potentially providing a net-zero commercial aircraft solution by 2035. It is estimated that the use of hydrogen propulsion could reduce the climate impact of flights by 50 to 75 percent and with the steady reduction in the cost of renewable energy sources and the rapid performance improvements of hydrogen production technologies, hydrogen is likely to become a competitively priced and accessible fuel. As part of the technology of hydrogen powered aircraft the storage of liquid hydrogen on an aircraft will be preferred to hydrogen gas due to its superior energy density and lighter tanks.

While the need for energy transition is often highlighted as being vital for “in air” emission reductions, the importance of energy transition solutions across all aviation related scope generators, including those on the ground should not be underestimated. Many of the published airport climate action plans have actions that focus on ground-based technologies, such as switching from natural gas and diesel vehicles, with forward-thinking airports and ground handlers already shifting towards the use of electric ground handling vehicles in a bid to tackle their carbon impact. Despite these meaningful improvements to in air and on the ground emissions, there is further opportunity to link-up a system-wide strategy.

6 Heathrow’s Net Zero Plan, February 2022
7 Heathrow’s Net Zero Plan, February 2022
8 Information from Airbus Zero website page on March 22, 2022
9 Information from Airbus Zero website page on March 22, 2022
11 Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Organisation (CSIRO) – Opportunities for hydrogen in commercial aviation
Hydrogen Implementation

Jacobs suggests that an interconnected approach to aviation energy transition provides the solution for achieving long term in air objectives, while enabling wider reductions to on the ground emission generation. As hydrogen can be used to support a range of energy demands such as heating, electrical systems and mobility propulsion systems (cars, buses, forklifts, freight (HGVs), trains), its versatility offers the opportunity to integrate aviation's climate goals.

As part of Jacobs' work on the Aeronautical Technology Institute's (ATI) FlyZero project, ATI specified three potential airport infrastructure scenarios for the supply and storage of hydrogen for use in fuelling hydrogen powered aircraft:

- Scenario 1 - the delivery of liquid hydrogen directly to the airport by truck,
- Scenario 2 - the use of a hydrogen gas pipeline with on-site liquefaction, and
- Scenario 3 - the use of electrolysis for hydrogen production on site at the airport.

As has been published in the ATI’s report[13], Scenario 1 is identified to be the initial starting point for all airports, with the transition to Scenario 2 being commenced by some airports as hydrogen demand starts to increase and operational constraints on delivery truck access becomes an issue.

Due to the length of time that it takes to plan, design, consult, and implement new airport infrastructure, airport owners and operators will need to make provision for the delivery and storage of hydrogen long before the start of demand for aircraft refuelling in the early to mid-2030s. This is a relatively close mid-term milestone and presents an immediate opportunity for hydrogen to become the catalyst for the decarbonisation of an airport's Scope 1, Scope 2 and ground based Scope 3 emissions.

Hydrogen has the potential to significantly contribute to the decarbonisation of sectors of our economies that can be classified as “hard-to-abate”. These are areas where battery technologies are unable to meet the performance requirements or where it would be too expensive to replace an existing gas distribution system with an electrical system.

In the context of an airport and its adjacencies, Table 1 represents the types of hydrogen applications that could be implemented in short- to medium-terms, i.e., from now to the next ten years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hydrogen Application</th>
<th>Possible Required Infrastructure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen fuel cell powered on airport ground support</td>
<td>• On-airport hydrogen gas storage tanks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen fuel cell powered on airport buses and other vehicles</td>
<td>• Delivery of hydrogen gas by truck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• On-airport hydrogen refuelling station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• On-airport maintenance facility for fuel cell systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen fuel cell powered logistics trucks operating from adjacent businesses</td>
<td>• Off-airport hydrogen gas storage tanks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public transport buses to and from the airport</td>
<td>• Delivery of hydrogen gas by truck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Off-airport hydrogen refuelling station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Off-airport maintenance facility for fuel cell systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel cell back-up power systems for terminals and safety critical equipment</td>
<td>• Fuel cell – battery back-up power package</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Hydrogen gas storage tanks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen blend in the natural gas distribution grid for heating and cooking applications in on-airport buildings</td>
<td>• Hydrogen injection into the on-airport gas distribution pipe network (max 20% blend)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen blend in the natural gas grid for heating and cooking applications in adjacent off-airport buildings</td>
<td>• Hydrogen injection into the regional gas distribution pipe network (max 20% blend)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% hydrogen gas for heating and cooking applications in on-airport and off-airport buildings</td>
<td>• New gas transmission/distribution pipe network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Modifications to existing heating and cooking equipment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Types of hydrogen applications that could be implemented in short- to medium-terms
Hydrogen Roadmap

By starting to use hydrogen in the short-term, and therefore implementing the required supporting infrastructure that is shown above, an incremental roadmap can be adopted that will prepare an airport and its stakeholders for the commencement of hydrogen powered commercial flights later.

Figure 2 shows a possible roadmap for hydrogen utilisation and infrastructure implementation at airports. This has been developed based on current research and statements made by aircraft manufacturers and the ATI. The roadmap displays three key messages set along a common timeline. The top line graph shows the ATI FlyZero forecast demand for hydrogen required to fuel regional, narrow body and mid-sized aircraft. This denotes a projected boom in demand for hydrogen between 2030 and 2050. Below, the phasing of delivery scenarios is mapped for small, medium, and large airports, with the transition between bowser and hydrant delivery acknowledged for medium and large airports. Then a series of hydrogen applications is presented which can take place additionally to fuelling aircraft for flight and taxi. Finally, the timeline of hydrogen infrastructure implementation based on the demand scenario for aircraft, and this would also support the non-aircraft fuelling opportunities.

Figure 2: A possible hydrogen implementation roadmap developed by Jacobs
In this way, and through the stages of the roadmap, an airport could become a hub for the distribution of hydrogen to surrounding businesses and transport providers. The adoption of a collaborative partnership between these adjacent stakeholders would encourage all the Scope 3 on the ground emitters to move rapidly along their own decarbonisation journeys, with the adoption of hydrogen heating, ground transportation and operations.

The report identifies the challenges that implementing hydrogen infrastructure is going to pose airports, with high costs creating the challenge of how to raise sufficient funds. However, there are revenue opportunities in airports developing partnerships with various actors including: governments, airlines, hydrogen companies, local and national transport providers, and local businesses.

Starting with the intent to create a holistic approach to the use of hydrogen means that the initial capital costs may be distributed across a wide range of hydrogen users. This means that the cost of hydrogen will be lower than if each individual user tried to go their own way, reducing the first mover disadvantage, whereby the initial developer of an innovative system pays a premium that later adopters can avoid.

The associated costs will vary significantly depending on the infrastructure scenario selected and as discussed this will likely transition over time. Therefore, the initial investment based on the Scenario 1 approach will be a lower cost than capital intensive hydrogen pipelines or electrolysis and can potentially be based directly on airport vehicles as the main driver for an anchor demand thus reducing uncertainty. As pressure continues to grow from consumers for businesses to demonstrate that they are achieving emission reduction and wider sustainability targets, an expanding hydrogen network will create further economies of scale as more actors invest in the infrastructure.

Not only can the airports, and the actors within a partnership, offset some of the hydrogen infrastructure’s capital expenditure by sharing it across a hydrogen network, but this approach will also create opportunities for the private sector to act as a funding partner. Jacobs initial assessment indicates that the use of hydrogen as an aviation fuel is likely to represent an attractive opportunity for private investors to fund the required infrastructure. In consideration of this as a medium to long term opportunity it seems to make sense that airports could engage with private investors in the short term to start the pathway to build out hydrogen infrastructure through investment in early implementation schemes.
While there remain challenges to enable the wide scale use of hydrogen at airports, which include the availability of sufficient green hydrogen and finding space for significant amounts of hydrogen storage, the benefits that could be realised by early implementation of hydrogen are significant. However, in the UK the government is now targeting 2 GW of low carbon hydrogen production capacity to be in the pipeline by 2025 and a revised and increased ambition for 10 GW by 2030[^14], the expectation is that the availability of green hydrogen will increase quickly to facilitate the methods outlined in Scenarios 1 and 2. Although for Scenario 2, the construction of pipelines would either require hydrogen production to be located nearby or for there to be existing pipelines to connect into.

The approach Jacobs is suggesting, the development of hydrogen partnerships, will generate resilience in the agreements to produce hydrogen because demand will not be significantly affected if some businesses fail to adopt hydrogen at the rate that has been planned. Early implementation, ahead of the adoption of hydrogen for aircraft refuelling, will also enable airports to gain experience in using hydrogen in self-controlled applications, and ensure the right infrastructure is in place, by the time demand for hydrogen from airlines commences.

On this basis, Jacobs recommends that hydrogen infrastructure plans for on the ground applications in the roadmap are aligned with the medium- and long-term phasing of infrastructure needed for aircraft refuelling – shown in Table 2, which has been developed using data from ATIs Airports, Airlines, Airspace - Operations and Hydrogen Infrastructure' report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airport Size</th>
<th>2035</th>
<th>2040</th>
<th>2045</th>
<th>2050</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Liquid Hydrogen Delivered</td>
<td>Gas Pipeline and Liquefication</td>
<td>Gas Pipeline and Liquefication</td>
<td>Gas Pipeline and Liquefication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Liquid Hydrogen Delivered</td>
<td>Gas Pipeline and Liquefication</td>
<td>Gas Pipeline and Liquefication</td>
<td>Gas Pipeline and Liquefication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Liquid Hydrogen Delivered</td>
<td>Liquid Hydrogen Delivered</td>
<td>Liquid Hydrogen Delivered</td>
<td>Liquid Hydrogen Delivered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Table 2 from ATI report ‘Airports, Airlines, Airspace - Operations and Hydrogen Infrastructure’: Likely airport hydrogen delivery scenarios. Source: ATI, 2022, page 17

[^14]: Hydrogen investor roadmap: leading the way to net zero
In the short-term, hydrogen, for use in ground equipment, and other mobility and utility applications, could be delivered by truck, as a liquid\textsuperscript{15}. This will support the case for an airport to construct liquid hydrogen storage and gaseous refuelling facilities. The cost of transport fuel in this method would be low in comparison to other scenarios making hydrogen as accessible as possible. In line with the view that wider emission reductions, outside of just the aircraft, needs to take place. Within each of the scopes there should also be consideration for reducing the vehicle emissions created by the bowser operation, such as implementation of electric or hydrogen bowser operations.

As hydrogen powered aircraft are introduced and demand for liquid hydrogen as an aircraft fuel increases, the focus will shift towards building large scale liquefaction and storage systems, and hydrant pipe networks for refuelling. However, by introducing the use of hydrogen at an early stage this transition will be more efficient than if the construction of the hydrogen infrastructure is only focused on what is needed for aircraft refuelling, making airports, and hydrogen, the catalyst for decarbonisation both on and off the airfield.

In summary, hydrogen, as a versatile zero emission fuel, could be the core component around which the decarbonisation of the aviation is implemented, by incrementally building the hydrogen supply and distribution of infrastructure from a short-term starting point. To enable this, airport operators and owners need to take the initiative to build partnerships with businesses, and other transport operators in their local area, to initiate the use of hydrogen in the immediate term.

\begin{flushright}
15 Liquid hydrogen is required as an aviation fuel because of its greater energy density (MJ/Litre) in comparison to compressed hydrogen gas
\end{flushright}
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